

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDOT MANDO TO THE	<del></del>	
10/681,574	10/08/2003	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Gary Roger Miller	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			58575-281077	6831
7590 11/19/2004  FAEGRE & BENSON LLP 2200 Wells Fargo Center			EXAMINER	
			LE, HOA VAN	
90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Willingapons, Wi	33402-3901		1752	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/681,574	MILLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit			
	Hoa V. Le	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum sta  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nunication.  9) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty actually period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on				
	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the apulation 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Evaminor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Eveniers			
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CER 1 85(a)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	the correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to See 37 CER 1 121(d)			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in App the priority documents have been recall Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Interdiction	(DTC 440)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1752

In view of the complexity of the claims as set up, this Office action is made.

- A.1. Claims 1-71 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible organic solvents in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed organic solvent species for an initial search, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 2. Claims 1-71 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible strong base in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed strong base species for an initial search, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 3. Claims 1-71 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible weak base in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed weak base species for an initial search, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 4. Claims 1-71 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible dispersing agents in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed strong base species for an initial search, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 5. Claims 50-71 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising many possible polymeric materials in the art. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed polymeric species for an initial search, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1752

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- B. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-36, drawn to an aqueous developer, classified in class 430, subclass
     331.
  - II. Claim 37, drawn to a developer system or apparatus, classified in class 396, subclass 636.
  - III. Claims 38-49, drawn to a method for regenerating a used developer, classified in class 430, subclass 399.
  - IV. Groups of claims (50-65) and (66-67) (with independent claim 50 being broadest. They are not considered to be patentably different or distinct invention.

    Accordingly, no restriction is made. Therefore, no separate invention is considered or searches. Should applicants disagree, show or urge otherwise in the next response to this Office action in order for it to be considered timely, a restriction will be made for the record as disagreed, shown or urged in the response), drawn to a method for developing an exposed polymer layer containing plates, classified in class 430, subclass 300

Art Unit: 1752

- V. Claims 68-71, drawn to another method for developing an exposed polymer layer containing plates being patentably different and distinct step and material for using in the step, classified in class 430, subclass 327.
- VII. Claim 19, drawn to an image forming method using the silver halide color photographic material (of claim 17) containing the iridium sensitized emulsions of claims 10, classified in class 430, subclass 377. If claim 10 or 117 is elected for an examination and found to be allowable, claim 20 will be let to be rejoined.
- \* All claims will be allowable if the broadest claim 1 is elected, considered, searched and found to be allowable.

The inventions of Groups I and are related to the materials but have
the patentably different and distinct subject matter and have acquired the separate status
and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants.

Restriction for examination as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

The inventions of Groups III, IV and V are all related to the methods but have the patentably different and distinct reactant materials and processing steps and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants. Restriction for examination as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Art Unit: 1752

Inventions Groups (I and II) and Groups (III, IV and V) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as developing an exposed polymer layer containing plates without using an additional replenishing or regenerating step and its material as required in the instant claims as conventionally and well known and done in the art. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

C. An additional consideration or search for more than one invention or subclass in the art is burdensome. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Art Unit: 1752

D. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

Page 6

election to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

E. Other issues have not been considered until full and proper elections and requirements

are made and resolved.

F. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday

and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 703-

872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van lo